

From: Deneice Florence <REDACTED>

Sent: 28 October 2022 13:22

To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Cc: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>;

Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb

<Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker

<Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T

Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker

<Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S

Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: URGENT - Call in

This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe. If the sender appears as someone within ESBC - BEWARE!

Hi Richard

I am away from Council business at the moment, with no access to ESBC emails.

I wish to add my name to the call in. Please keep me informed of the progress.

Regards

Deneice Florence-Jukes

On 28 Oct 2022, at 13:08, Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk> wrote:

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House

specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39
To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The “Call-in” must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

1. be in writing or by email;
2. state the decision which the members wish to call in;
3. state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
4. be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045

Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”

This e-mail and files or other data transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, disclosure, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying is strictly prohibited and you must not take any action in reliance upon it. Please notify the sender immediately and delete the message. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of East Staffordshire Borough Council unless explicitly stated otherwise. East Staffordshire Borough Council may monitor the contents of e-mail sent and received via its network for the purposes of ensuring compliance with its policies and procedures. East Staffordshire Borough Council does not enter into contracts or contractual obligations via electronic mail, unless otherwise explicitly agreed in advance in writing between parties concerned. The Council believes in being open with its information and the contents of this e-mail and any replies may be released to a third party requesting such information at a future date.

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 17:01
To: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Monica I attach nine emails from Councillors along with me calling this in for the reasons shown below. This makes ten and I believe constitutes call in numbers in accordance with our constitution

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the

Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Cllr R Grosvenor

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39

To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The "Call-in" must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”

From: Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 31 October 2022 09:34
To: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Hi Monica,

I believe Cllr Grosvenor has already collected signatures to call-in this decision, could I please add my name to the call-in.

Kind regards,
Helen

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39
To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The “Call-in” must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer

East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”

From: Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 15:30
To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes REDACTED
Subject: RE: URGENT - Call in

Hi Councillor Grosvenor,
Can you add my name to the call in.

Thanks
Thomas Hadley
Borough Councillor for Eton Park Ward

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 13:08
To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>
Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39

To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The “Call-in” must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;

- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”

From: Syed Hussain <REDACTED>
Sent: 28 October 2022 16:45
To: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Paul Walker <REDACTED>; Louise Walker <REDACTED>
Subject: Fw: URGENT - Call in

This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe. If the sender appears as someone within ESBC - BEWARE!

Re : Call in

Hi Monica,

Could you please add my name to Cllr Richard Grosvenor's call in request? In other words, I am delighted to support his request for a call-in. Unfortunately, my council laptop is in need of repair, so I'm using my personal email account.

Regards,

Syed Hussain
Cllr ESBC

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cllr L Walker <louise.walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
To: REDACTED
Sent: Friday, 28 October 2022 at 16:33:23 BST
Subject: FW: URGENT - Call in

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 October 2022 13:08

To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39
To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The “Call-in” must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely

Monica Henchcliffe

Senior Democratic Services Officer

East Staffordshire Borough Council

P O Box 8045

Burton upon Trent

Staffordshire

DE14 9JG

01283 508608

monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”

From: Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 October 2022 14:38

To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: RE: URGENT - Call in

Dear Councillor Grosvenor,

I support the call in please add my name.

Regards

Louise Walker

Councillor Eton Park Ward

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 October 2022 13:08

To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39

To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The "Call-in" must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

"Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?"

From: Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 14:27
To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S

Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: Re: URGENT - Call in

Richard

I support the call in

Graham

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2022 1:08 PM

To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>;

Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb

<Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker

<Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T

Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker

<Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S

Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39
To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The "Call-in" must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

"Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?"

From: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 16:02
To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: call in

Hi Richard
I support the call in please add my name
Thanks
Kind regards
Cllr Alison Legg
Ward councillor for Stapenhill

From: Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 14:36
To: Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>
Subject: RE: URGENT - Call in

Hi Richard
Please add my name to the call in notice I support the call in
Kind Regards
Paul Walker

From: Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 14:27
To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>
Subject: Re: URGENT - Call in

Richard

I support the call in

Graham

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2022 1:08 PM
To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T

Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39

To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The “Call-in” must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”

From: Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 October 2022 14:48

To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <florencejukes@aol.com>

Subject: RE: URGENT - Call in

Hello Cllr Grosvenor,

Please add my name to the “call in”

Kind regards
Cllr M Shrive

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 October 2022 13:08

To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39
To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The "Call-in" must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

"Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?"

From: Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 October 2022 13:55
To: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: URGENT - Call in

I am pleased to support this call-in for the reasons given. I firmly believe that adequate discussions around the closure of the NBC did not take place nor other options considered for its retention on that site .

Yours Sincerely
Cllr C Whittaker

From: Cllr R G W Grosvenor <richard.grosvenor@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 October 2022 13:08

To: Cllr A J Legg <alison.legg@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr B Toon <Beryl.Toon@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr C Whittaker <Colin.Whittaker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr G Lamb <Graham.Lamb@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr H Hall <Helen.Hall@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr L Walker <Louise.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M Shrive <Mandy.Shrive@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr M T Fitzpatrick <michael.fitzpatrick@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr P Walker <Paul.Walker@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr T Hadley <Thomas.Hadley@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Cllr S Hussain <syed.hussain@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Deneice Florence- jukes <REDACTED>

Subject: URGENT - Call in

All as discussed previously I should like to call this decision in for discussion at scrutiny. Please could you reply to this mail URGENTLY that you support this call in.

The reasons are that we have been informed by Cllr Allen that if the council had known about the potential closure of the National Brewery Centre that this decision may not have been made. In my briefing on this decision I specifically asked if during the negotiations for this decision had there then been discussions about the Coors Decision to close the NBC and if there were viable alternatives for them, the answer was no no such discussions had taken place with regards to this decision. As such I wish to call it in under Part 2 of our constitution section 11.2, specifically

11.2.7 – a consideration of other options, clearly there is no consideration to other options on this decision which could lead to the NBC remaining open

11.2.6 – clarity of desired aims and desired outcomes, this is not a main reason for call in but an additional which points to an outcome of the NBC closing and suggests that the decision sees this as desirable as the leader has said that making the decision is leading to the closure of NBC

11.2.5 – a presumption in favour of openness , the council has advised that they became aware of the plans for closure early in September but then informed us that Coors had discussions with ESBC early in June regarding their plans, this is neither open or transparent and in advising the council in June it gave ample time for negotiations to take place.

11.2.10 – with due regard to the risks involved, the council has suggested that it will use Bass House to host some of the artefacts currently held in the NBC and that regeneration work on Bass House specifically to undertake this will be done with Levelling Up Funding. In a conversation with me the Chief executive has said that this money is not yet received by the council and could mean that ESBC has enough funding to purchase the properties involved but no money to do anything with them. This in itself is a risk. In addition with no business plan for a council hosted museum in the Bass House this provides addition funding risk to the council and ESBC residents moving forward. There is no evidence that either of these risks have been considered.

Please could you reply your agreement to call in on the reasons I have outlined. With your agreements there are enough to call in , if however you are aware of others who wish to add their names please could you forward to them as well

Thanks

Richard

From: Monica Henchcliffe <monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Sent: 27 October 2022 14:39

To: DL Councillors-All <DLcouncillors-All@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Cc: Andy O'Brien <andy.o'brien@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Heads of Service <HeadsofService@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; John Teasdale <John.Teasdale@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>; Thomas Deery <Thomas.Deery@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk>

Subject: Acquisition of High Street Property Key Decision

Dear all

I attach a key decision which may be called in. The “Call-in” must only be used where Members have evidence which suggests that the Cabinet or Deputy Leader did not take the decision in accordance with the principles set out in Article 11.2 of the Constitution (Principles of decision making).

The decision will come into force, and may be implemented, on the expiry of three working days from the date of this email unless a valid request to call in the decision is made within that time.

A valid request to call in a decision shall:

- (a) be in writing or by email;
- (b) state the decision which the members wish to call in;
- (c) state the reason(s) why they wish to call in the decision (having regard amongst other things to the criteria set out in the call in procedure adopted by Council);
- (d) be signed by any ten Members of the Council.

The decision should be self-explanatory but if you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely
Monica Henchcliffe
Senior Democratic Services Officer
East Staffordshire Borough Council
P O Box 8045
Burton upon Trent
Staffordshire
DE14 9JG
01283 508608
monica.henchcliffe@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk

“Help save paper - do you really need to print this email?”