

Ref:

651/16

Executive Decision Record

Please leave blank for completion by DS officers

A1 Service Area:

Enterprise and Environment

A2 Title

Street Naming

A3 Decision Taken By

~~Leader/ Deputy Leader/ Chief Officer~~ (please delete as appropriate)

A4 Chief Officer

Please print name

Please sign

A5 Leader/Deputy Leader

Please print name

Please sign

A6 Date of Decision

13/4/16

A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?

No

A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.

N/A

A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to?

(please tick as appropriate)

Scrutiny (Value for Money Council Services) Committee

Scrutiny (Promoting Local Economic Growth) Committee

Scrutiny (Protecting and Strengthening Communities) Committee

B1 What is the Decision?

That the development comprising of 140 No new dwellings situated off Bramshall Road in Uttoxeter be named Geoff Morrison Way, Lucerne Close, Gentian Close, Orchid Close, Daisy Close, Sundew Close, Campion Close, Purslane Close and Buttercup Close.

B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?

The road names were suggested, discussed and agreed between the developer, Lioncourt Homes and the Uttoxeter Town Council. Geoff Morrison Way was suggested in recognition of the late Cllr Geoff Morrison. The remaining names are all British Wildflowers, it has been suggested that this is fitting as Cllr Geoff Morrison was the former chairman of Uttoxeter in Bloom. The Royal Mail have no objections.

B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?

Promoting Local Economic Growth.

B4 What are the Human Rights considerations?

There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

B5 What are the financial implications?

There are no financial issues arising from this decision.

Revenue	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16

Capital	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16

B6 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?

Yes

B7 Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's budget?

Yes

B8 What are the Equalities implications?

B8.1 The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised. An equality impact assessment is not required.

B9 What are the Risk Assessment implications?

B9.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits) NONE

B10 What are the Legal Considerations?

B10.1 There are no significant legal issues arising from this decision

B11 What are the Sustainability implications?

B11.1 The proposal would not result in an overall positive effect in terms of sustainability (including climate change and change adaptation measures).

B11.2 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

B11.3 Negative (Threats):

B12 What are the Health & Safety implications?

B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Harriet for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.

B13. Is this a Key Decision?

No

Note A Key Executive Decision is one where:

1. REVENUE – Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000
2. CAPITAL – Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000
3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.

B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would seriously prejudice the public interest?

N/A

B13.2 If Yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?

N/A

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication – unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision.

Please send the original signed document to Andrea Davies, Legal and Democratic Services.