Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011

Request for a "Screening Opinion" in respect of the following development:

Proposed Development:

Erection of three poly-tunnel type hangars at Tatenhill Airfield

Introduction:

The Council has been consulted on the above development, which is proposed to be carried out as being permitted under Part 8 (class F) of The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, by the relevant airport operator, Tatenhill Aviation Ltd. Details of the proposed development have been provided by means of plans and elevations sent with a 'non householder permitted development request form' dated the 3rd March 2015.

Having regard to Article 3(10) of the GPDO, in the case of development which is Schedule 1 or Schedule 2 development within the meaning of the EIA Regulations, development is not permitted unless one of three conditions is satisfied, one of which is the adoption by the local planning authority of a screening opinion under Regulation 5 of the EIA Regulations that the development is not EIA development.

Schedule 1:	No	Schedule 2:	Yes	
ooneddic 1.	No	Ochedule 2.	Yes	Ï

The development proposed does not in itself fall within any of the descriptions listed in paragraphs 1 to 12 of Column 1 of Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations, but it is a proposal to change an existing development, namely Tatenhill Airfield, which falls within the description at paragraph 10(e) and has an area exceeding 1 hectare. The Council takes the view that, as a proposal to change an existing airfield with an area exceeding 1 hectare, the proposed development is to be regarded and treated as Schedule 2 development.

Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011

In respect of Schedule 2 development, an assessment will only be required if the development, or the existing impact of the use of the land as modified by the development is likely to have significant effects on the environment by virtue of factors such as its nature, size or location. The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011 require regard to be had to Schedule 3 of the Regulations when considering whether an Assessment should be required, including the following:

- 1. Characteristics of the development size of the development, cumulative effects with other development, use of natural resources, production of waste, pollution and nuisances, risk of accidents with regard to substances or technologies utilised.
- 2. Location of the development: the environmental sensitivity of geographical areas likely to be affected must be considered, in particular
- the existing land use,
- the relative abundance, quality and regenerative capacity of natural resources,
- the absorption capacity of the natural environment, with particular emphasis to the following areas:
 - (i) wetlands
 - (ii) coastal zones
 - (iii) mountain and forest areas
 - (iv) nature reserves and parks
 - (v) areas designated by Member states
 - (vi) where environmental quality standards have been laid down in Community legislation and have been exceeded
 - (vii) densely populated areas
 - (viii) landscapes of historical, cultural or archaeological importance.
- 3. Characteristics of the potential impact, with regard to:
- The extent of the impact
- Transfrontier nature of the impact
- Magnitude and complexity of the impact
- Probability of the impact
- Duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact

National Planning Practice Guidance:

The National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) advises in Paragraph 058 Reference ID 4-058-20140306 indicative thresholds where it is more likely that EIA will be required, and also advises of key issues to consider. In relation to Construction of Airfields it advises the following: -

Environmental Impact Assessment is unlikely to be required for the redevelopment of land unless the new development results in new permanent airfields and major works (such as new runways or terminals with a site area of more than 10 hectares) at existing airports. Smaller scale development at existing airports is unlikely to require Environmental Impact Assessment unless it would lead to significant increases in air or road traffic.

The NPPG advises that the key areas to consider are as follows: -

Physical scale of such developments, potential increases noise, traffic

generation, and emissions.

In assessing whether an Environmental Assessment will be required under schedule 2 category 10 (e) the impact of the proposed development must be considered in the context of the existing development/use of the land, and the cumulative impact of any repeated small extensions.

Paragraph 024 of the NPPG advises the following in relation to cumulative impact: -

Each application (or request for a screening opinion) should be considered on its own merits. There are occasions where other existing or approved development may be relevant in determining whether significant effects are likely as a consequence of a proposed development. The local planning authorities should always have regard to the possible cumulative effects arising from any existing or approved development. There could also be circumstances where two or more applications for development should be considered together. For example, where the applications in question are not directly in competition with one another, so that both or all of them might be approved, and where the overall combined environmental impact of the proposals might be greater or have different effects than the sum of their separate parts.

Assessment:

The proposed works upon which the Council have been consulted are for the erection of three poly-tunnel type hangars, to allow dry storage of aircraft. The owners of the Airfield, namely Tatenhill Aviation Ltd, have advised the hangars are necessary for the dry storage of light aircraft already based at the site, the scale of each hangar is such that it can only house small light aircraft, and as it will be used by existing customers of the airfield they will not significantly increase the level of air traffic from the site.

The operators of the airfield Tatenhill Aviation Ltd have confirmed that they are a 'relevant airport' and they are 'relevant airport operators' and therefore they meet the criteria required to undertake development under part 18 of the General Permitted Development Order.

The physical scale of the proposed development is modest in the setting of the existing airfield, and the hanger is of scale, design and finish appropriate to the location; each of the proposed hangars measures 12.8m x 10m and are of a scale and height to match existing adjoining hangars. Therefore the scale and level of development, in the context of the airfield use, will not have a significant urbanising effect in the locality. The Local Planning Authority considers from the submitted information, and the fact this is for 3 small single hangars, that there will not be a significant increase in the frequency or type of air traffic relating to these hangars, or from the cumulative impact of these, and previously permitted developments. Given there will not be a significant increase in air traffic from this development, or cumulatively when considered alongside other developments there is unlikely to be any significant issues

raised in relation to increased noise, emissions or traffic generation.

The development will not involve large scale construction works, and as such there is no more than a local visual impact. The site is not located in an environmentally sensitive location (in terms of the Regulations) nor is the development proposed complex or potentially hazardous. There is no proposal to extend the runway, while the area of land to be taken up by the hanger is significantly less than 1ha.

Given the above it is considered by the Council that the environmental effects of the development, including the cumulative impact of the proposal in the context of the existing land use, and previous permissions and works, are not such that the development is likely to have significant effects on the environment by virtue of factors such as its nature, size or location or in any other manner, and therefore a formal Environmental Statement will not be required.

Recommendation:

A formal screening opinion be issued that the development consulted on is not EIA development.

Planning Delivery Team Leader/Planning Manager comments:

ahue Weller

N/A

The following decision is made by the undersigned in accordance with powers delegated to the undersigned under the provision of S101 of the Local Government Act 1972.

The development as proposed is not EIA development and no Environmental Statement is required.

Planning Manager

Signature:

Date: 29th April 2015